"PROGRESS"

If this is someone else's copy you're reading, would you like to receive your own in the future? Or do you know of someone the magazine does not already reach who would genuinely appreciate receiving it? It's easy to get on our mailing list. Fill in this coupon (BLOCK LETTERS please) and post it to:

HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE (TASMANIAN DIVISION) G.P.O. Box 1370P HOBART, TAS.

C		No. Green, 1846年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年(1970年)(1970年(1970年(1970年)(1970年(1970年)(1970	246
delle small more delich mes	Please send to:	"PROGRESS"	
1			
	P+K3XKP0422444++++	P'code	

THIS MONTH'S ACTIVITY SPOT

Letter-boxing — keen Georgists are invited to call or write for a bundle of leaflets to letter-box in your district. One housewife we know takes a bundle and "letter-boxes" as she goes to the local shops. Help to sow the seed!

SOCIAL SCIENCE SCHOOL

Science — Economics — Topical — Instructive — Entertaining — Affairs at Home and Abroad

For the

- ★ Student ★ Executive
- ★ Employer
- ★ Technologist
- ★ Politician
- ★ Employee ★ Tradesman
- * Farmer
- ★ Banker
- * Public Speaker

This course is a refreshingly practical approach to social questions. It is a course in simple fundamental principles. It is scientific in its method of inquiry into what is often treated as a commercial subject and removes the guesswork that mars much current thinking. It keeps abreast of the times and imparts a knowledge that gives the student an advantage in thought and action.

Syllabus for First Course

Session I—General Introduction 2—Value and the Nature of Wealth 3—Spurious Wealth and Capital. 4—Production of Wealth 5—Distribution of Wealth

- 5—Distribution of Wealth and Law of Rent.
 6—Wages and the Law of Wages.
 7—Interest and the Law of Interest.
 8—Money and the Canons of Taxation.
- 9—The Problem Society has to Solve.

 10—Protection and Free Trade.

The sessions take the form of lecture: followed by group discussion. Cost of the complete 10 sessions is \$10 including text books. It is within the scope of everyone. A correspondence course is also available.

Mail the coupon below or contact by telephone.

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE (HENRY GEORGE)

31 Hardware Street, Melbourne, 3000 Telephone: 67 2754 (After Hours 878 7831)

We also extend a cordial invitation to anyone who would care to attend individual sessions as an observer to see what we are doing.

Please inform me, free of obligation, commencing date of the next course.

Name	
Address	Phone

NEARLY 75 YEARS AFTER HIS DEATH IN AMERICA, THE REVOLUTIONARY IDEAS OF HENRY GEORGE ON TAX REFORM, FREE TRADE AND A FAIR DEAL FOR THE POOR STILL HAVE THEIR ACTIVE ADHERENTS 23.00 a year posted anywhere.

143 Lawson Sreet, Rediers, N.S.W. 2916

VEROCIVIION BOR COOP COVERNMENT
Of the

termot labilio

The bi-monthly for serious thinkers.

GOOD GOVERNMENT

GYZH

Phone 67-2754 (day), 878-7831 (evening).

Call, write or ring for further information from

A Correspondence Course is also available for those unable to attend tutorials.

Courses in progress and formation, Tuesday evenings. Only cost is \$10.00 to cover course notes for the 12 sessions. They are tutorial with stradent discussion.

(HEMEA CEORCE)

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE



PROGRESS



No 11

A Tasmanian Journal to Advocate the Rights of the People in the Land

POLICY 1. COLLECTION OF LAND VALUES OR GROUND RENT AS PUBLIC REVENUE.

THE ABOLITION OF TAXES NOW IMPOSED UPON LABOR AND LABOR PRODUCTS

PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION FOR ALL ELECTIONS.

PUBLISHED BY THE HENRY GEORGE LEAGUE (TASMANIAN DIVISION) P.O. BOX 1370P, G.P.O., HOBART 7001.

HEADQUARTERS OF THE HENRY GEORGE LEAGUES IN AUSTRALASIA

Victoria

31 Hardware Street, Melbourne, Vic. 3000 New South Wales

143 Lawson Street, Redfern, N.S.W. 2016 Western Australia

6 Alexander Street, Wembley, W.A. 6014 Tasmania

129 Forest Road, West Hobart, Tas. 7000 Ouccensland

l Bird Street, Herston, Qld. 4006 South Australia

24 Hawthorndene Drive, Hawthorndene, S.A. 5051 New Zealand

Box 6038, Auckland, New Zealand

SEND FOR THESE BOOKS

Obtainable from 31 Hardware Street, Melbourne, 3000 Prices Quoted Include Postage "The Choice - Georgist Progress or Social Poverty" \$2.40 48 pp (by S. G. Hart
"Land Rent Revenue in Lieu of Taxes" \$0.90 44 pp (by S. G. Hart) "Land Rent as Public Revenue in Australia" 36 pp (by A. R. Hutchinson) \$0.50 "The Effects of a Book - Progress and Poverty" \$0.60 28 pp (by Sir Ronald East) "Progress and Poverty" (by Henry George) \$2.60 240 pp (condensed edition)

7276

ONE HUNDRED years ago, Henry George published the most thorough elaboration of the moral philosophy in support of the claim that the rental value of natural resources belonged to society.

He believed that this revenue would be sufficient to meet the legitimate needs of public expenditure; therefore, it was possible to eliminate altogether the tax burden on wages and capital, which were an infringement of the individual's property rights and a restraint on the growth of wealth.1

Thus was developed the Single Tax, an idea originally formulated by the French physiocrats.

In the past 75 years, most people who have promoted the virtues of an annual tax on economic rent have not emphasised the Single Tax notion.

But during the past two years there has been an exciting revival of fundamentalist thinking in Australia, where discussions among leading supporters of Henry George are likely to produce some valuable insights into the original theories.

By a stroke of good fortune, it is to Australia that we must look for the best empirical data with which to test the hypotheses. This article can do no more than open up the debate among a wider audience, and pose some key auestions.

HOW MUCH revenue would be raised under a Single Tax regime?

National income statistics are seriously defective; they fail to record the total annual rental income of a modern industrial economy.

This has suited the purposes of those who wish to preserve the present distribution of property rights. They have attempted to discredit the Single Tax by arguing that it would not meet all the spending needs of modern societies.2 This argument is defective for a number of reasons.

- The constructive influences of land value taxation on the industrial economy are sufficient in themselves to commend the fiscal policy. No matter how much (or little) the tax would raise for the exchequer, the dynamic impact-through, for example, neutralising the destructive power of speculation-would justify a 100% ad valorem tax on the value of land.
- Oritics conveniently ignore the question of the justifiable limits of public expenditure. Henry George did not advocate land value taxation for the benefit of profligate rulers. The case for tapping the value of nature's resources for the benefit of the com-

So how much vvould the Single Tax raise?



munity would not fall just because the

revenues could not finance the multi-

billion-pound budgets that are

required for the weapons of death that

distort the consumption of the wealth

Critics have based their verdict

on a conclusion reached by the route

taken by many a crooked

businessman: they have "cooked the

books." They have refused to do their

arithmetic before levelling the charge

that land values would produce paltry

revenues. Allan Hutchinson's new

study3 begins the systematic attempt

TUTCHINSON, the Director of the Land Values Research

Group, has made a life-long study of

rental income in Australia. He has

just completed an analysis of the

accounts of the continental economy

local government taxes was

The revenue from land value taxes,

local authority rates on land, and

lease rents, totalled just over \$1.6bn.

This sum, although it does not include

the \$206.2m. royalties from publicly-

owned mines and forests, is a fraction

of all sites were taxed for the com-

munity's benefit, the sum raised

would be \$4.5bn. This figure is

arrived at by calculating the portion

However, if the full assessed value

Revenue from Federal, State and

for the fiscal year 1976/77.

A\$24.8bn.

of public revenue.

at straightening out the books.

of nations.

ALLAN R. HUTCHINSON: Born 1907, Auckland, New Zealand, Education: 8.Sc., Melbourne University. Occupation: engineer with the Postmaster General's Department, from which he is now retired. Publishes (and co-edits) Progress, a journal devoted to promoting land value taxation in Australia.

Hutchinson became politically active during the recession of the 30s. He developed a close association with the Henry George League in Melbourne, Victoria. During the

'40s, he was one of the founders of the Land Values Research Group. which committed itself to undertaking empirical studies of the economic effects of land taxation.

During the past 30 years, Hutchinson helped to form the Justice Party, and in 1970 he successfully sought election to Camberwell Council, to further promote the ratepayers' general wish to shift taxes off improvements and onto the socially-created value of the land on which their homes stood.

of site values left in private hands under the existing fiscal system, which Hutchinson estimates at \$2.9bn.

It would be premature of critics of Henry George's philosophy to regard these statistical magnitudes as a vindication of their opposition. For the figure of \$4.5bn. seriously understates the potential according income to be derived from the soil fertility, minerals and locational attributes of Australia. To reach an accurate figure, a variety of adjust ments have to be made.

Even if we froze the Australian economy into its present form, we would find that rental income is much higher than at first sight seems to be the case. For example, official valuations understate the value of land. Revaluations are not on an annual basis, but vary from periods between two and ten years. Thus, when land values are rising rapidly, as in the 1970s, this leads to serious distortions in the statistics.

Hutchinson has calculated that the under-estimates can vary from 59% (Oueensland) to 108% (Tasmania). By recomputing the data in accordance with the growth trends found with the most recently revalued property, Hutchinson discovered that the true figure of rental income remaining in private hands leapt from \$2.9bn. to at least \$4.2bn. So it appears that the true taxable capacity of landowners is well concealed from the public!

The values given for rateable land do not include the value of mines, for which rights to royalties are usually reserved to State governments. They also exclude a substantial proportion of holdings that are exempt from municipal rates and land taxes. These comprise properties held by the Commonwealth and State governments. religious bodies, hospitals and charities

The total value involved for all States is unknown. But the figure for Oucensland is published; in 1976/77, rates foregone on exempt properties equalled 12.9% of the total general rate revenue collected. The proportion exempt would be greater in New South Wales and Victoria, which have a larger concentration of government organisations. This, and other valuation shortcomings, would increase the real site rent of Australian land, in Hutchinson's view, to over \$5.2bn., excluding mineral and forestry royalties received as public revenue from publicly owned lands.

WE NOW come to an important point which is the one least capable of quantification.

What would happen to land values under a reformed tax regime? There are sound theoretical and empirical reasons for believing that if we imposed a 100% ad valorem tax on land values, rental values would increase enormously. This point

Fred Harrison spotlights an Australian controversy

MARCH & APRIL, 1981 LAND & LIBERTY

IMPROVEMENTS Should be Taxed Much Less

and

warrants exhausting study elsewhere: here, I can only make a few points.

If taxes on wages and capital were reduced in line with increases in land value taxes, part of the privately retained income would be spent in such ways as to increase the demand for land. With a higher level of income, people's tastes change. They would want more spacious houses, access to better recreational facilities. and so on. As the demand for land rose, so would values.

Higher incomes mean greater consumption. The whole level of economic activity would rise to a new plane, to accommodate the increased personal prosperity. This would lead to increased demand from the commercial and industrial sectors for land which they would need to expand their productive capacities. The increased competition would drive up land values (this pressure would be modified, initially, by a flow onto the market of land hitherto held idle for speculative purposes).

Under these collective influences, land values would rise and so benefit the community through the increased revenue received by the exchequer. Ofven the present state of knowledge. it is not possible to calculate the ultimate statistical magnitudes that would be determined by these dynamic forces.

A USTRALIAN land taxers, how-ever, firmly believe that the budgetary requirements of the modern state could be met out of land values, and could be met without having to worry about the dynamic impact of this fiscal weapon on the economy in the future.

Noel Wigmore, in Melbourne, Victoria, and Graham Hart, in Wembley, Western Australia, take the view that all current taxation is at the expense of site rents.

This is a reasonable conclusion to be drawn from Book VI, Ch. 1, of Progress & Poverty. In essence, then, it is being argued that under a Single Tax regime, public revenue would comprise both current tax revenue from all existing sources, plus the outstanding economic rent still retained in private hands.

This is a controversial hypothesis.

HAVE YOU **PAID YOUR** MEMBERSHIP?

Most councils tax unimproved site values

OVER 93% of the Australian land that was subject to rates (the property tax) was taxed on the unimproved capital value of the site in 1976. The rates on the net annual value (land plus buildings) fell on only 6.61% of the land.

	Councils rating on		Area, sq. km	
	nc _A ,	NAV2	nca	NAV
New South Wales	214		705,651	
Victoria	62	149	28,069	199,031
Queensland	131		1,726,700	
South Australia	38	98	61,058	89,498
West Australia	126	12	2,525,306	194
Tasmania	/Amm	. 49		68,330
Aust, Capital Territory	ŧ		2,433	
Northern Territory	2			-mary
	574	308	5,049,438	357,053

Local Government Authorities Australia Yearbook 1977-78, Australian Bureau of Statistics Census Returns, 30 June, 1976.

If income taxes were drastically reduced, wage earners would expect to retain some of the benefits. Henry George, indeed, along with other economists of his time, recognised that minimum wage levels acceptable to workers were in part determined by what was called "habit," which is determined by a variety of sociopsychological as well as economic factors.

So, it would not be unfair to predict that people would resist pressures to transform into land taxes the whole of the present tax revenue derived from income and consumption.

But no attempt can be made here to resolve this controversial aspect of Henry George's thesis. If we assume that the argument is correct, however, there would still be further problems to confront.

The size of the exchequer revenue would eliminate the need for deficit financing (and so remove the problem of inflation); but it would also produce an income to support a public sector far larger than that which George deemed appropriate for a liberal economy based on free enterprise, the private ownership of capital and the self-sufficiency and individual decision-making of a libertarian society.

The dilemma could not be resolved by reducing the level of the Single Tax, for this would contradict the

FORTHCOMING

A COMPARATIVE study of the property tax as it is administered in Melbourne, Victoria, and Sydney, New South Wales, will appear in the May-June issue of Land & Liberty. This will be followed in the July-August issue with an historical analysis of the influence of Henry George on the

moral philosophy on which the system was built.

One solution would be to divide up-equally among all citizens-the money left after legitimate public expenses had been met; this is the social dividend, or social credit, that found strong favour in North America.

But while this may please the present generation, it is part of George's case that future generations have an equal claim to nature. Where the value is derived from renewable characteristics (location, in the main), this is no problem. But where the value is exhaustible, as with finite energy resources, a purist would argue that surplus public revenue ought to be invested to produce an income stream that could be enjoyed by future generations. (This might be the argument over Britain's North Sea oil, for example.)

This, however, challenges the desire to reduce the size of the public sector!

These considerations of what a reformed society would look like await detailed investigation. Such an examination is vertainly long overdue. and is vital if people are to appreciate that socialism is not the only alternative model to the present unstable western capitalist system.

REFERENCES

- Henry George, Progress & Poverty, 1879, centenary edn: New York, Robert Schalkenbach Foundation, 1979.
- One of the earliest and most influential of these critics was William Hurrell Mallock. For a critique of his statistical computations and arguments, see R. V. Andelson. editor, Critics of Henry George, Rutherford: Fairleigh Dickinson UP.
- A. R. Hatchinson, Land Rent as Public Revenue in Australia, London: ESSRA.

LAND VALUES

Should be Taxed Much More

^{2.} Annual value of land plus buildings.