

Harvard, Mass. July 31, 1915,

Dear Mr. Mackendrick,

My stenographer is not here this afternoon & I wish to keep a carbon - so will lay your good nature with this pencil - may I?

Here is a letter which I got from Miles this morning. He makes no reference to Union Label, editing his pamphlet and seems to be going up getting the League to print it - at least for the present. I think it might be well for you to call him up - his telephone is in the Directory I believe and make sure about this. We ought to be certain one way or the other by Thursday night - and I like the informality of the telephone for such a thing as this.

I enclose also the Boston Post editorial on Gargas to which I referred - also a copy of his address with passages indicated which might be included in the reply to the editorial which it so plainly invites.

I enclose also a Public editorial, which by substituting Boston figures would be a good thing to print in Boston.

P.T.O. (Don't let around on a letter)

I do not recollect that I reported to you
what Pollock Hall & Lydell of N.Y. suggest as an
improvement to our "(4)". It is

"The increase of taxes on the site-value of land
at least to the point where it will not be profitable
to keep valuable land wholly or partly idle; thus
increasing opportunities for industrial and agricultural
production and for the ownership of ^{business and} homes"

This does not meet my nation's. Their paper it
as "more definite". I want to get into it something
to imply less huddling of buildings, and not greater
congestion, i.e. I want it clear if it can be made so
in few words that ground about a building required
for health and beauty is not "idle" in our estimation.
Pres. Eliot opposes S.T. because he thinks it will
increase congestion. This fear is prevalent & the
antis play upon it ~~to~~ ~~to~~ ~~to~~ effectively.

I report the Hall suggestion for what it is
worth.

The Public editorial with the Boston figures
in it might be useful to Creamer. I will
try to fix one up for him - or Fuller. There are
extra one here. So you need not send the enclosed
back.

Cordially

L. Johnson