## EDWARD JOH PH DODSON 206 PEARL/COFT ROAD CHERRY HILL, LEW JERSEY 08034

June 9, 1983

Mr. Henry H. Finley Rt. 1, Box 191 Lake City, Arkansas 72435

Dear Mr. Finley:

Bob Clancy forwarded to me a copy of your May 31st letter. As co-editor of "Equal Rights", I appreciate your expression of "enjoyment". Both Frank Nelson and I have worked to improve the nature of that journal and welcome constructive criticism (as well as praise) on the effort.

As a follow-up on my article on Lyndon LaRouche, I should say that my feelings have firmed somewhat. While there is much with which I can agree, there is also much about which I am greatly concerned. On strictly economic grounds, the LaRouche supporers totally ignore the "distribution of wealth" issue and concentrate only on "production" (and, in this they ignore essentially correct supply-side incentives). Another basic criticism I have is best correlated to general problems I have with both "conservative" and "socialist" political postures. The conservatives push for a hands off economic policy but support vast military spending and controls over personal freedoms (e.g., school prayer, abortion, drug usage, women's rights, etc.); on the other hand, socialists push for restrictions on economic freedoms and property rights while advocating a high degree of personal freedoms. My conviction is that either both exist together or neither will long survive.

You may find interesting a recent letter I wrote to the editor of <u>Focus</u> magazine, a local business periodical, on the subject of what causes unemployment. This has led to the magazine's publication of an article on land value taxation written by Steve Cord. We are truely yet voices in the wilderness, as was Churchill. Eventually, Britain was forced to call on him to lead them through their most difficult challenge; the truth contained in George's writings is self-evident and I know that while the struggle may be quite long change will come.

Again, thank you for your support. I wish you good fortune and hope the future will be kindlier than the present.

Sincerely,

Ed Dodson