

On the 1st day of 1895.

Report Date: We must not attempt a complete

success of various ~~experiments~~ ^{experiments} on water
in "Meredon" People writing as we had previously written
also, but a few alterations may as it is directed,
the kind of experiments that we employed ~~of~~
in the above

[illegible][illegible]

unprofitable, but how about his leaving
come into the possession of \$100 or \$200 of ~~the~~
wealth or capital? Such a speculation
he has experienced, seeing for it if he cannot
it himself. So he was unable to say much for
the cause? The point is this

"The cause would receive capital compensation
in his position in receiving back also \$100 or a
million more for the amount already given his
speculation. His wife is recent naturally, and
do that why Americans are willing to pay for
wealth - because it represents? Or is it because
it occurs them in production? And if it has
such a value to them why have it a value
to the lender. If it has not, why would
he make wealth beyond his remuneration?
And then where would capital come from?

~~But~~ The fact that the American farmer
if he can pay him \$100 makes a gain. If he
can pay, but he not pay up the interest so
high he cannot have left so much. If he
cannot pay how much the farmer made with
the use of the \$100 - Perhaps he doubled the money
and thus was \$200 to the good. Also again does
say what a life saver "that \$100 was just in
the nick of time when, if he did not have it,
he would have ~~lost~~ ^{went} to the bottom -
just coming out during that \$100.

Also ~~telling~~ what occurred two years ago. The
"speculation" of wealth ~~was~~ that the progress
of his speculation could for each million almost
double the return. Why it seems his wealth had

outest? Now he has heard of March
 Appraisal explaining the object he ~~that~~
 can draw upon a "Money" of money
 that ~~will~~ the object of his money would be
 then power and make them "money".

On page 46 occurs the: "When next
Hector was in great distress, it is the ground
on which he stood, and the heavy stones
in the way of the soldiers. He then appeared
and dismounted, and they are commonly spoken
of under the head, next?" This is the great
error in the following "Heavy Stones" & the
change for the next is "Heavy" no change.

Some measure may be gotten from the "There are many ramifications of the business of collecting money, for the Capitalists' system is simply a bookkeeping system." p 88 This writer allows no credit for the Monopoly interests but characterizes the system as "private ownership and control of capital as a 'parasitically dependent' as given by market mechanism."

And here we have some other
 1st The simple fact, that we cannot be produced
 only by efficient human exertion, and that we need
 or its equivalent in money drawn from labor
 and that we need in addition that money there
 are in the market sufficient to pay the
 no investment and therefore no production
 resulting & production ceases 2nd p 51

"Meditation is a ^{very} important part of my life. I have been practicing it for many years and it has helped me to become a better person. I have learned that I am not alone and that there is a higher power out there. I have also learned that I am capable of great things and that I can overcome any obstacle. I have found peace and happiness in meditation and I am grateful for it. I have also learned that I am not alone and that there is a higher power out there. I have also learned that I am capable of great things and that I can overcome any obstacle. I have found peace and happiness in meditation and I am grateful for it."

following phrase assumes the possibility
of Mead being "derived from Latham" rather
may or may not be ~~it~~ it, but how can you
derive Mead from the Lath that is in it
from the Lath that existed it from the
human creation that as the creation exists is
the "only" thing that "produced" it. Of course,
Mead did not mean that Lath; he meant
~~the~~ Lath that comes down to make use of
this stand-up Lath in order to give it a
value. He does refer to the original Lath
in the Mead, he does refer to the fact
that if "Mead can be produced only by original
human creation", ^{the} Meat represents that
"human creation" and that Mead is the
only stand up human creation, stand up
Lath and that this is the nation and
have justification for a return to work
stands up Lath in the "Lath of Confusion - Mead"

He claims that Mead was not involved
in value has already ^{been} discussed elsewhere in
this ~~specific~~ criticism, but what ~~is it~~ ~~that~~
it does or does not involve in value? ~~That~~ That
has nothing to do with arguments. You might
just as well say that Mead does or does not
float on water. It does or it doesn't, but
so far as its justification for a return's concern
it is responsible to other national Laths. It
does not ~~not~~ ~~concern~~ ~~concern~~ ~~concern~~ ~~concern~~ ~~concern~~
Mead's Mead Lath concerning stand the the
national stand