Joseph Himan

# Incentive Tax League

Massachusetts Chapter

80 Boylston St., Boston, MA 02116

(617) 451-0458

FOR RELEASE On or before 25 February 1982

PRESS RELEASE Contact: Mitchell Chanelis Deputy Chairman (617) 451-0458

### STATE TAXATION COMMITTEE HEARING ON TAX REFORM BILL

On Thursday, 25 February '82 at 11 am in room 234, the State House, Boston, testimony will be heard by the Taxation Committee concerning pending House Bill 2531.

H. 2531, "An Act Relative To Land Value Taxation", if passed into law would allow cities and towns in the Commonwealth - if they choose - to adopt what proponents call a variation of the Pittsburgh style 'graded-tax' plan.

According to Mitchell Chanelis, Deputy Chairman of the Incentive Tax

League of Massachusetts, this approach to property taxation would

enable communities to gradually lower the property tax rate on buildings
and other improvements with the projected revenue loss to be made

up for through a corresponding increase in the rate on land values.

Under current Massachusetts law the tax rates on land and buildings
are the same.

"A graded tax is in effect in Pittsburgh and other Pennsylvania cities, Taiwan, Australia, New Zealand, and Denmark and offers exciting evidence that shifting the burden of taxation onto land values accompanied by low -- or no -- taxes on improvements to the land can provide a tremendous incentive to positive economic growth without government subsidies," Chanelis said.

"The Incentive Tax League of Massachusetts, a group of economically aware Commonwealth residents, are convinced that legitimate public responsibilities can and should be met, but without burdening the legitimate activities of the private sector - work, saving, and investment. The various legislative schemes now circulating to increase the sales tax would act as a disincentive to such productive activity," Chanelis concluded.

According to an aide to Rep. Andrew Natsios (R-Holliston), one of the 19 legislators who have co-sponsored the legislation, the bill could be "just what the currently faltering construction industry needs right now. There is also a good deal of evidence that it could help to rebuild the older urban areas of Massachusetts." Principal sponsor of the bill is Rep. Joseph Hermann (D-No. Andover) and among its signators is John Olver, Senate Chairman of the Taxation Committee.

### HOUSE . . . . . . No. 2531

By Mr. Hermann of North Andover, petition of Joseph N. Hermann, other members of the General Court and others for legislation relative to land value taxation. Taxation.

### The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the Year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-Two.

AN ACT RELATIVE TO LAND VALUE TAXATION.

amount exceeding fifteen dollars.

23

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

Section 23 of Chapter 59 of the General Laws is hereby amended by adding before the final paragraph the following new paragraphs: - The board of assessors of any city or town, with the approval of the city council in a city or the town meeting in a town, may decrease, by whatever amount is deemed appropriate by such council or meeting, the rate of taxation upon improvements in any class or classes; provided, however, that the rate of taxation upon 7 land in any class in which the rate of taxation on improvements has been reduced as herein provided is increased by whatever amount is necessary to achieve the levy that would have been reached in such class, in accordance with the provisions of section fifty-six of H chapter forty, had no reduction in the tax rate on improvements 12 been effected. Such reduction in improvement taxation and equal increase in land value taxation may be effected by any city or town annually as desired and at once upon as many of the four classes of 15 property as is deemed fit. In order that a particular tax differential 16 desired by a city or town may be phased in gradually, a single 17 meeting of a city council or a single town meeting may also deter-18 mine a schedule of increases in the tax differential in any class or 19 classes in such city or town to take place as deemed appropriate 20 over a period of years not to exceed twenty years. In no single year, 21 however, shall the tax differential in any class be increased by an 22

24

25

26

28

29

31

33

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

The board of assessors in any city or town that has once reduced the taxes upon improvements and raised those upon land values in any class as herein provided shall not, on its own authority, reduce, partially or in toto, the tax differential in such class in any subsequent year. Such partial or total reduction of the tax differential in any property class shall take place if approved by a majority of those voting in a referendum scheduled for this purpose by the city -30 council in a city or the board of selectmen in a town; provided, however, that at least twenty-five percent of those registered to 32 vote in that city or town vote in said referendum. In no instance, however, shall the rate of taxation upon improvements in any class 34 exceed the rate imposed upon land in that class.

For the purposes of this Section the following words, shall have the following meanings: -

"Land", real property, not including buildings, structures, improvements or other things erected thereon or affixed thereto.

"Improvements", real property, not including land.

"Land value taxation", that portion of the property tax on any real property that results from application of the property tax rate as determined by the board of assessors under the provisions of section fifty-six of chapter forty upon the assessed value of the land contained in that real property.

"Improvement taxation", that portion of the property tax on any real property that results from application of the property tax rate upon the assessed value of the improvements contained in that real property.

"Class", any of the four classes of real property as defined in section three of chapter fifty-nine.

"Tax Differential", the amount, in dollars per thousand of assessed valuation, by which the rate of taxation upon land values in any class exceeds the rate of taxation upon improvements in such 55 class.

# 

# Dan offere

New bill encourages home improvements

By Andrew Gully Eagle-Tribune Writer

BOSTON - When you think of Pittsburgh, you prob bly think of football and steel

Rep. Joseph N. Hermann, But, according to state

the Pennsylvania milltown has something else to offer something that could mean new life for Massachusetts milltowns, like Lawrence...

could spur economic, growth, cut down on urban and other legislators believe That something else is a erty tax plan which the North Andover Democrat dramatically different prop-

The plan unvelled by Hermann and a bi-partisan Rep. Hermann

From page one

allow Massachusetts cities and towns to called urban sprawl.
drastically change the way they tax buildroup of 17 other legislators at a State iouse press conference yesterday would

ings and land.

In communities that adopted it, the so-million worth of new building permits limiting the amount of discretion an ascalled "graded tax" plan would place a solution in the limit full year of the land. sessor has in determining property value higher value on land than on the buildings with the limit of the land. hat occupy the land.

Under the current tax structure, 80 sercent of most property taxes are based on the value of the building and the other to percent on land value, according to

"One of the best things about this bill is building on and improving their property," Hermann said. "In fact it will enthat land tax will not penalize people for courage them to."

urage them to."

The proposal was submitted in the orm of a "local option" bill, which means he communities would have the choice of idopting it or staying wih the current sys-

towns the option of using the new tax tructure in any or all of the current clas-The proposal would also give cities and iffications: residential, commercial, industrial and open land.

businessmen to indake improvements to their buildings without facing higher tax The plan, sponsors said, would stimuate development, discourage the specuative holding of land by slumlords and developers and allow homeowners and

adding that the plan would probably de-crease property, taxes for urban home-"At last we're giving the common-wealth an alternative," Hermann said, owners and increase them for slumlords and owners of vacant lots.

"It's not radical, it's innovative." Her-

mann said. "It's the bill of the future as far as property taxes go."

New tax plan puts emphasis on land

Pittsburgh and several other Pennsylvania cities that have adopted the plan tion and a reduction in what Hermann have experienced an upsurge in construc-

million worth of new building permits. limiting the amount of discretion an as-Hermann said Pittsburgh recorded \$300

based tex system in that city.

The primary effect in Massachusetts would be felt in urban areas like LawSponsors of the measure hope that in addition to spurring development, the and-based tax would also help prevent corrupt or unfair assessing practices by

ESOR - Tribons

## Bill to shift property tax burden from buildings to land proposed

A group called the Incentive Tax League, joined by a number of Massachusetts legislators, is pushing a bill that would allow communities to shift the property tax burden from buildings to land.

Mitchell Chanelis, deputy chairman of the league, said at a Boston news conference yesterday that 18 legislators were co-sponsoring the so-called graded tax bull filed for consid-

eration in 1982 session.

He said the measure

He said the measure would allow "a distinction between the products of human labor and natural resources," and permit the tax ratio between buildings and land in Massachusetts to be reversed.

The effort is modeled after activity in Pennsylvania, according to sponsors.

Pittsburgh taxes land at a higher rate than buildings, and this has led to a building boom, lower housing costs and a general upturn in the economy, it was claimed.

Buildings in Massachusetts are taxed at five times the rate of land, the sponsors said. The opposite is the case in Pittsburgh, they said

The measure is aimed at urban areas, with the intent of making it unprofitable for people to hold vacant land, slum dwellings or other properties that could be put to better use.

Boston Herall-American 3 DEC. 81